

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,924	01/06/2004	Dane Scarborough	LEVL106	5707
7:	590 10/26/2004		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN M. NIPPER			BENNETT, GEORGE B	
DYKAS, SHAVER & NIPPER, L.L.P P.O. BOX 877		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOISE, ID 83	3701		2859	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			γ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	J
	10/753,924	SCARBOROUGH, DANE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	G. Bradley Bennett	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reeply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	January 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 January 2004</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	-	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	Λ □ 1-t:	Limmony (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	08) 5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	

Application/Control Number: 10/753,924

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kobayashi.
- 3. Kobayashi discloses a housing **8**, a tape measure **18** and a marking portion **26** which extends perpendicularly from the bottom portion **12** of the housing. The marker may be made as an integral part of the housing (col. 2, II. 46-51) or a lug that is welded to the housing or otherwise attached (col. 2, II. 35-38). If the marker is an integral part of the casing of a predetermined shape (col. 2, II. 47-48), it is inherently the same material as the housing. If it is welded to the housing, it is inherently removable. Likewise, the marks made by the Kobayashi device are inherently removable, since scratches may be "buffed out" or otherwise removed from a surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/753,924

Art Unit: 2859

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Hollingsworth.
- 6. Kobayashi discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Kobayashi does not disclose that the housing is magnesium. Hollingsworth discloses that it is known to use magnesium for the purpose of forming a housing around a tool (col. 3, II. 36-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use magnesium as taught by Hollingsworth for the purpose of forming a housing around the tape of Kobayashi.
- 7. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Carlson.
- 8. Kobayashi discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Kobayashi does not disclose that the marking portion is extended downwards from a position forward of the junction between the base portion and the front portion as claimed. Carlson discloses that it is known to place the marking portion in a position extending downwards from a position forward of the junction between the base portion and the front portion for the purpose making marks that correspond to a specific orientation of the tape. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a marking portion configuration as taught by Carlson in conjunction with the device of Kobayashi for the purpose of providing an alternative marking location on the Kobayashi device.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,924

Art Unit: 2859

- 9. Claims 7, 11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Bo.
- 10. Kobayashi discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Kobayashi does not disclose that the marking portion is convex as claimed. Bo discloses that it is known to make the shape of markers concave or convex for the purpose of marking a piece of work (col. 3, II. 43-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a convex marker as taught by Bo in conjunction with the device of Kobayashi for the purpose of providing an alternative marking shape on the Kobayashi device.
- 11. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi and Bo as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Hollingsworth.
- 12. Kobayashi and Bo disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, neither Kobayashi nor Bo discloses that the housing is magnesium. Hollingsworth discloses that it is known to use magnesium for the purpose of forming a housing around a tool (col. 3, II. 36-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use magnesium as taught by Hollingsworth for the purpose of forming a housing around the combination as taught by Kobayashi and Bo.
- 13. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi and Bo as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Carlson.
- 14. Kobayashi and Bo disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, neither Kobayashi nor Bo discloses that the marking portion is extended downwards

Application/Control Number: 10/753,924 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

from a position forward of the junction between the base portion and the front portion as claimed. Carlson discloses that it is known to place the marking portion in a position extending downwards from a position forward of the junction between the base portion and the front portion for the purpose making marks that correspond to a specific orientation of the tape. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the marker configuration as taught by Carlson for the purpose of providing an alternative marking location on the combination as taught by Kobayashi and Bo.

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is 571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G. Bradley Bennett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

gbb 25 OCT 2004